

# CRITICAL THINKING RUBRIC

*Based on the AAC&U Critical Thinking VALUE Rubrics, [value@aacu.org](mailto:value@aacu.org), [assessment@llu.edu](mailto:assessment@llu.edu), or see sites below<sup>1</sup>.*

The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics and related documents for each learning outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors demonstrating progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core expectations articulated in all 15 of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to position learning at all undergraduate levels within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can be shared nationally through a common dialog and understanding of student success.

## **Definition**

Critical thinking is a habit of mind characterized by the comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion.

especially useful in some fields. If insight into the process components of critical  
important, assignments focused on student reflection might be especially

## **Framing Language**

This rubric is designed to be transdisciplinary, reflecting the recognition that success in all disciplines requires habits of inquiry and analysis that share common attributes. Further, research suggests

## CRITICAL THINKING RUBRIC

*Based on the AAC&U Critical Thinking VALUE Rubrics, [value@acu.org](http://value@acu.org), [assessment@llu.edu](mailto:assessment@llu.edu), or see sites below<sup>2</sup>.*

### Definition

Critical thinking is a habit of mind characterized by the comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion.

*Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample or collection of work that does not meet level one performance.*

	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>Explanation of issues</b>	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated, described and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unexplored.		